Spirometric Predictors of Lung Function Decline and Mortality in Early Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
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Rationale: The course of lung function decline for smokers with early airflow obstruction remains undefined. It is also unclear which early spirometric characteristics identify individuals at risk for rapid decline and increased mortality.

Objectives: To determine the association between spirometric measures and 5-year decline in FEV1 and 12-year mortality.

Methods: We analyzed longitudinal data from the Lung Health Study, a clinical trial of intensive smoking cessation intervention with or without bronchodilator therapy in 5,887 smokers with mild to moderate airflow obstruction. Participants were stratified into bins of baseline FEV1 to FVC ratio, using bins of 5%, and separately into bins of Z-score (difference between actual and predicted FEV1/FVC, normalized to SD of predicted FEV1/FVC). Associations between spirometric measures and FEV1 decline and mortality were determined after adjusting for baseline characteristics and time-varying smoking status.

Measurements and Main Results: The cohort was approximately two-thirds male, predominantly of white race (96%), and with mean age of 49 ± 7 years. In general, individuals with lower lung function by any metric had more rapid adjusted FEV1 decline. A threshold for differential decline was present at FEV1/FVC less than 0.65 (P < 0.001) and Z-score less than −2 (2.3 percentile) (P < 0.001). At year 12, 575 (7.2%) of the cohort had died. Lower thresholds of each spirometric metric were associated with increasing adjusted hazard of death.

Conclusions: Smokers at risk or with mild to moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease have accelerated lung function decline. Individuals with lower baseline FEV1/FVC have more rapid decline and worse mortality.
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Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a major cause of morbidity and mortality, recently becoming the third leading cause of death in the United States (1). Expiratory airflow limitation, the hallmark physiologic abnormality in COPD, is determined by a reduction in the ratio of FEV1 to the FVC. The traditional model of accelerated decline in lung function in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) has been recently challenged by several studies showing heterogeneity in lung function decline. It is important to identify early spirometric characteristics that can determine who is at risk for the most rapid rate of lung function decline and worse mortality.

What This Study Adds to the Field

In smokers at risk or with mild to moderate COPD, worse baseline lung function is associated with more rapid long-term FEV1 decline and higher risk of death. The threshold of FEV1/FVC that placed people at risk for excessive lung function decline and mortality was lower than current thresholds used to define COPD.
Spirometric measures and the annual decline in FEV\textsubscript{1} over 5 years and mortality at 12 years.

METHODS

Participant Selection

The design of LHS has been previously described (12-14). LHS I was a multicenter, randomized three-arm trial of smoking cessation intervention combined with inhaled ipratropium or placebo versus usual care (Chinalitral.gov NCT00000568). The study enrolled 5,887 active smokers from the community ages 35 to 60 years with prebronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC less than 0.70 and prebronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1} between 55 and 90% predicted who were not regularly using physician-prescribed bronchodilators. Lung function was measured annually over 5 years. LHS III extended the follow-up of 98.3% of LHS I participants to December 31, 2001 or 14.5 years (whichever was earlier), to determine long-term impact of the smoking cessation intervention (15, 16). For this analysis, all LHS I participants with post-bronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC measurements (n=5885) were included. Because of the observed increase in FEV\textsubscript{1} during the first year of LHS (13), and potential bias related to deaths and loss to follow-up with LHS III, analysis of rate of decline of FEV\textsubscript{1} was limited to annual visit one through annual visit five. For mortality analyses, LHS III death status was used. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants originally enrolled in LHS. Institutional Review Board approval was waived as all data were previously collected and deidentified.

Defining Spirometric Thresholds

The procedure for spirometry measurements has been described elsewhere (17). Spirometric values collected at the second screening visit were used in this analysis. Participants were stratified into bins of baseline post-bronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC as a percent, using bins of 5%. Separately, participants were stratified by baseline post-bronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC into bins of Z-score, using reference formulas of Hankinson (18). The Z-score represents the difference between the actual FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC and the predicted FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC, normalized to the SD of the predicted FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC. For example, a Z-score of −1.0 means that the measured FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC is 1 SD below the mean of the reference population (the 16th percentile of the population). A Z-score of −1.645 represents the 5th percentile of the population (the lower limit of normal [LLN] threshold) used to define COPD by some guidelines (8). Table E1 in the online supplement provides a summary of the correlation between Z-scores and normally distributed population percentiles. Participants were also stratified into bins of baseline FEV\textsubscript{1} % predicted.

Modeling of Longitudinal FEV\textsubscript{1} Decline

To test for the association between bins of baseline spirometric measurements and mean annual FEV\textsubscript{1} decline, generalized estimating equations (19) with a robust exchangeable variance-covariance matrix were used. Lung function decline was assessed via the interaction of the spirometric measure and time. Predictors associated with FEV\textsubscript{1} measurements and thus included in modeling were randomization group, race, sex, age, height, body mass index, and time-varying smoking patterns. Time-varying smoking pattern was modeled with three covariates: (1) average number of cigarettes smoked per day over the previous year at each annual visit, (2) subject’s smoking status for the current year, and (3) subject’s smoking status for the previous year (defined as smoker or nonsmoker). Full details on predictors and model construction are available in the online supplement. Graphs of adjusted mean FEV\textsubscript{1} change (expressed in milliliters per year) across models construction are available in the online supplement. Graphs of FEV\textsubscript{1} change were generated using duration of follow-up as the time metric. A P value of less than 0.05 was used to infer statistical significance. Stata version 10.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX) was used for statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Participant Characteristics at Baseline

A total of 5,885 LHS participants were included in this analysis, representing 99.9% of the original LHS cohort. (Table 1) Two individuals were excluded due to the lack of post-bronchodilator spirometry. The cohort was predominantly of white race (96%), with mean age of 49 ± 6.8 years. Approximately two-thirds were men. All participants were active smokers at the time of enrollment, with an average smoking history of 41 ± 19 pack-years. The mean prebronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC was 0.63 ± 0.06, with post-bronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC increasing to 0.65 ± 0.06. Although all participants had a prebronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC less than 0.70, 1,245 (21%) had a post-bronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC greater than or equal to 0.70. The mean post-bronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1} % predicted of the cohort was 78 ± 9% predicted.

Five-Year and LHS III Outcomes

At 5-year follow-up, 147 (2.5%) of the cohort had died (Table 1). Of those still living, 3,890 (68%) were active smokers. At LHS III, 575 participants had died, representing 9.8% of the original LHS cohort. Data regarding cause of death have been previously reported (13, 15, 21). At the last visit before study completion, loss to follow-up, or death, 2,695 (46%) of the cohort were active smokers, whereas 915 (15.5%) were sustained quitters. The unadjusted annual decline in absolute FEV\textsubscript{1} for the entire cohort was 53.1 ± 0.6 ml at year 5 and 53.9 ± 0.5 ml at year 12.

Adjusted Mean FEV\textsubscript{1} Decline Stratified by Bins of Baseline Spirometric Measures

In exploratory analyses, several participant baseline characteristics were identified to contribute to the longitudinal decline in FEV\textsubscript{1} in LHS participants. In LHS III, certain characteristics were associated with the rate of FEV\textsubscript{1} decline. These characteristics included being male, being of white race, smoking status, age, BMI, cigarette smoking amount, and prebronchodilator FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC. In a multivariable linear regression model adjusted for these factors, we found that male participants had a significantly slower rate of FEV\textsubscript{1} decline compared to female participants (β = 0.05 ml/year; P = 0.001). Participants of white race also had a slower rate of FEV\textsubscript{1} decline compared to nonwhite participants (β = 0.03 ml/year; P = 0.01). Smoking status was also associated with the rate of FEV\textsubscript{1} decline, with active smokers having a slower rate of FEV\textsubscript{1} decline compared to former smokers (β = 0.02 ml/year; P = 0.04). Additionally, participants who had a higher BMI had a slower rate of FEV\textsubscript{1} decline compared to those with a lower BMI (β = 0.01 ml/year; P = 0.03). Finally, participants who smoked more cigarettes per day had a slower rate of FEV\textsubscript{1} decline compared to those who smoked fewer cigarettes per day (β = 0.01 ml/year; P = 0.02). Overall, these findings suggest that certain baseline characteristics are associated with the rate of FEV\textsubscript{1} decline in LHS participants.
absolute FEV₁ and were incorporated in a multivariate model. The relative adjusted effect of these covariates on absolute FEV₁ decline is summarized in Table E2. In general, individuals with lower lung function by any metric had more rapid adjusted FEV₁ decline. When stratifying participants into bins of baseline absolute FEV₁/FVC, all but the highest bin was associated with an adjusted mean FEV₁ slope less than zero (Figure 1). There appeared to be a threshold of differential decline at the 0.60 to 0.65 bin, with a statistically greater FEV₁ decline compared with participants in the next highest bin (P < 0.001). For the bins less than 0.65 to 0.70, there was a significantly greater FEV₁ decline for lower FEV₁/FVC bins (see Figure 1 and Table E3). When stratifying participants into bins of Z-score, a similar pattern was observed with a threshold of differential decline occurring between the −2.5 to −2 Z-score bin and the −2 to −1.5 bin (P < 0.001) (Figure 2). There was no statistical difference in annual FEV₁ decline between participants whose baseline FEV₁/FVC Z-score includes the LLN 5th percentile threshold (−2 to −1.5 bin) compared with the next highest bin (P = 0.52). Subsequently lower Z-score bins were associated with greater adjusted FEV₁ slope of decline (Table E3). Individuals with baseline FEV₁% predicted that ranged from 80 to 85% had a greater annual decline than participants in the 85 to 90% FEV₁% predicted bin (P < 0.001) (Figure 3). Each subsequently lower bin of baseline FEV₁% predicted was associated with a statistically greater decline in annual FEV₁ (Table E3). The observed trends in FEV₁ slope of decline across bins of different spirometric measures were not substantially altered when including methacholine reactivity or baseline FEV₁ in the primary model (Figures E1–E5). When evaluating the usual care group separately (to completely remove any potential impact of smoking cessation intervention and ipratropium on the FEV₁ slope) or including the 11-year follow-up data, similar trends were present (Figures E6–E11). When stratifying the study cohort by smoking pattern (sustained quitter, intermittent quitter, or continuous smoker), the association between lower baseline lung function and accelerated decline was present in all three groups, but attenuated in the sustained quitters. Intermittent smokers and continuous smokers had similar trends when baseline lung function was normal or mildly impaired. At levels of more severe baseline lung impairments, intermittent smokers demonstrated less rapid lung function decline than continuous smokers (Figures E12–E14).

**Figure 2.** Adjusted mean FEV₁ slope stratified by bins of baseline FEV₁/FVC Z-score. The Z-score represents the difference between the actual FEV₁/FVC and the predicted FEV₁/FVC, normalized to the SD of the predicted FEV₁/FVC. Model adjusted for randomization group, race, sex, sex–time interaction, age, height, body mass index, average number of cigarettes smoked per day over the previous year at each annual visit, subject’s smoking status in each of the 2 prior years (defined as smoker or nonsmoker), and smoking status–time interaction. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

**DISCUSSION**

We have demonstrated that spirometry testing in a population at risk or with mild to moderate lung disease provides important prognostic information. Some smokers with mild to moderate airflow limitation do have an increased rate of lung function decline. In smokers at risk or with mild to moderate COPD, worse lung function at baseline is associated with more rapid long-term decline in FEV₁ and higher risk of death. In our analysis, excessive lung function decline occurred below FEV₁/FVC of 0.65 or Z-score of −2.0 (2.3 percentile). The risk of death did not increase until even lower thresholds (FEV₁/FVC of 0.55 or Z-score of −3.5 [0.023 percentile]). The thresholds we observed...
that placed people at risk for excessive lung function decline and mortality were lower than current thresholds used to define COPD (FEV1/FVC < 0.70 or fifth percentile LLN). These findings highlight the usefulness of screening spirometry in at-risk groups, allowing for risk stratification of at-risk individuals to avoid overtreatment of those not at risk for accelerated lung function decline or death. Moreover, these data can inform screening criteria for guideline development.

Understanding the predictors and significance of the rate of decline in lung function in individuals with COPD has been the focus of several recent studies (3–6, 22–24). When examining individuals with established COPD, it was observed that lung function decline is heterogeneous (5). A recent report observed that only 18% of patients with COPD experienced a statistically significant FEV1 decline assessed by linear regression (6). It is unclear if the observation of less than anticipated FEV1 decline in those studies was attributable to alterations in disease pathobiology or response to medical therapy. By analyzing a group of individuals with mild to moderate disease relatively free of treatment, we have expanded the understanding of lung function decline in smokers. Our results demonstrate that some smokers with minimal to moderate lung function impairment, on average, have the most accelerated future FEV1 decline (25). Our findings also complement the reported observation that only 18% of patients with COPD experienced a statistically significant FEV1 decline assessed by linear regression (6).

In our analysis, we did not observe a statistically significant increase in the risk of death for participants with a baseline FEV1/FVC less than 0.70 or LLN encompassing the fifth
percentile compared with those above that threshold. The majority of studies evaluating the relationship between spirometry and mortality focus on comparing the fixed ratio and LLN criteria (30–33). Few have explored different thresholds above and below the current criteria (34, 35). Moreover, all LHS participants were active smokers at baseline with careful follow-up of biochemically validated smoking status, allowing us to account for the confounding effect of smoking on mortality. Vaz Fragoso and colleagues examined the association between different thresholds of LLN criteria (ranging from 5th to 25th percentile) and 12-year mortality in 3,502 participants of the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) (34). They observed an increase in the adjusted hazard of death only for those below the 5th percentile compared with those above the 25th percentile. The authors did not evaluate thresholds below the fifth percentile. Mannino and colleagues evaluated the association between FEV1/FVC less than 0.70 and LLN fifth percentile criteria with 11-year mortality in an elderly community-based cohort (35). These authors observed a 40% increase in the hazard of death (HR, 1.40; 95% CI, 1.1–1.7) comparing individuals with FEV1/FVC less than 0.70 and below LLN to individuals with normal spirometry. In a recent analysis of the Lung Health Study cohort, increased 15-year mortality was seen only in those with modified GOLD stage three or four lung disease (36). Our findings support and refine these observations by demonstrating that a better threshold to determine mortality risk may exist, specifically an FEV1/FVC < 0.55 or Z-score less than −3.5.

Our analysis has limitations. The data for this analysis are collected in the setting of a clinical trial, and thus the characteristics of participants in this cohort may not reflect the general population. Even though evaluation of the usual care group alone was similar to the overall findings, the data presented here may reflect changes related to trial interventions rather than the natural course of COPD. LHS did not collect radiographic or pulmonary function measures of emphysema, which has been shown to be important in determining prognosis in patients with COPD (24). Because the LHS did not enroll subjects with severe airflow limitation, these analyses do not exclude the possibility that individuals with severe airflow obstruction experience a slower rate of decline in lung function than those with moderate obstruction, resulting in a sigmoid curve with mild and severe obstruction showing the slowest rates of decline. The participants of LHS were predominantly white, limiting generalizability to other demographic groups. LHS had relatively few deaths and relatively mild airflow obstruction, potentially leading to an underpowering for detection of a consistent association between lower spirometric values and mortality, particularly when stratifying by cause of death. This analysis did not evaluate other endpoints important in the conceptual definition of disease, specifically the association between baseline FEV1 and respiratory symptoms and quality of life.

In summary, we have demonstrated that smokers at risk or with mild to moderate COPD have accelerated lung function decline. Individuals with lower FEV1/FVC have more rapid decline and worse mortality. The current spirometric thresholds used to define COPD, either an FEV1/FVC less than 0.70 or below the fifth percentile, are above a level that predicts more rapid lung function decline and increased risk of death. In a group of active smokers, it may be necessary to lower the threshold to an FEV1/FVC less than 0.65 or Z-score less than −2.0 to identify those at increased risk for more rapid fall in FEV1, with even lower thresholds potentially necessary to identify those at increased risk of mortality. In addition to demonstrating the value of screening spirometry in smokers to predict long-term outcomes, we have provided information allowing for risk stratification of at-risk individuals.
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